

# UNITED STATED DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 08/423,402 04/18/95 SCHWARTZ E 15358-24 **EXAMINER** T<sub>020350</sub> LM02/0908 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP ELISCA, P TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834 2785 **DATE MAILED:** 09/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 08/423,402

Applicances)

Schwartz et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Group Art Unit 2785



•
ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
expire <u>THREE</u> month(s), or thirty days, whichever respond within the period for response will cause the s of time may be obtained under the provisions of
the state of the section of
is/are pending in the application.
is/are withdrawn from consideration.
is/are allowed.
is/are rejected.
is/are objected to.
are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Review, PTO-948. d to by the Examiner isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). The priority documents have been  Der) International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  Tunder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
s)4s

Serial Number: 08/423,402

Art Unit: 2785



**Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca** 

**United States Department of Commerce** 

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D. C. 20231

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to Application serial number 08/423,402 filed on 04/18/1995.

## **Double Patenting**

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-33 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,675,645. This is a double patenting rejection.

Serial Number: 08/423,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 2785

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 6:30AM to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoleil Jr. can be reached on (703) 305-9713.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents of Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

# or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

# OR:

(703) 305-9724, (for informal or draft communications, pleased label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA., Sixth floor (receptionist).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2785

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

August 30, 1999